

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/678,032	10/03/2000	Mark B. Lester	1671-0099	5677	
Paul J. Maginot, Esq. Maginot, Addison & Moore Bank One Center/Tower 111 Monument Circle, Suite 3000			EXAMINER		
			LANDREM, KAMRIN R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3738		
Indianapolis, IN	N 46204-5130		DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003	11	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/678,032	LESTER ET AL.	Ćη_			
		Examin r	Art Unit				
		Kamrin R. Landrem	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 S	eptember 2003.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 31-44 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	6) Claim(s) 31-44 is/are rejected.						
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
•	ion Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
Attachmen		_					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

After review of the Appeal Brief filed September 22, 2003 the finality of the last Office action dated April 17, 2003 has been withdrawn.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the planes P_1 and P_2 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims 31,37,38, and 44 objected to because of the following informalities: It is unclear how a hemispherical reamer and a less than hemispherical cup disclosed in claims 31 and 38 will produce a cup that when implanted seats flush with the outer rim of the acetabulum as claimed in 37 and 44. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/678,032

Art Unit: 3738

Page 3

Claims 31,32, 36-39, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Amstutz et al.

With reference to Figure 6, Amstutz discloses an acetabular cup 18 having an apex and upper rim 58 that is configured to be press-fit into a cavity prepared in the acetabulum. Although Amstutz does not specifically recite a reamer having a cutting head configured to ream a hemispherically shaped cavity, it is inherent that the hemispherical cup 18 would require a hemispherical reamer (7:6-10) Figures 3 and 5 show the plane (P₁) in which the shell forms a "great circle". Focusing back on Figure 6, a second plane (P₂) that defines an imaginary hemisphere is shown. The cup 18 is can be precisely hemispherical or to avoid impingement the cup 18 may be 1 or 2 millimeters less than a hemisphere. This shape would then render a distance (D) between the "great circle" and the imaginary hemisphere that fails within the applicants claimed range (6:5-8). Amstutz further discloses a bearing insert 16 configured to be received with the acetabular cup 18 and is further configured to mate with head portion of the femur 12. The cup 18 and its upper rim 58 lie flush with the surface of the cavity of the acetabulum (7:36-42).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 33-35 and 40-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amstutz in view of Pratt et al.

As discussed above, Amstutz discloses the claimed prosthetic hip however Amstutz fails to teach the method of "under reaming" wherein the head of the reamer has a first radius and the imaginary hemisphere formed by the acetabular cup has a second radius, the first radius being smaller than the second radius. Pratt et al teaches a acetabular cup and reamer assembly wherein the surgeon inserts a cup that is 2 mm larger than the final reamer used to insure a correct and stable interference fit (2:7-12). Therefore in view of the teachings it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the procedure disclosed by Amstutz to include a ream a cavity that is smaller than the intended cup implant in order to create a secure interference fit that aid in preventing loosening of the prosthesis after implantation.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims31-44 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kamrin R. Landrem whose telephone number is 703-305-8061. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00, Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/678,032

Art Unit: 3738

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-3905.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Kamrin Landrem Examiner AU 3738

KRL

CORRINE MODERMOTT
SUPERVISORY FACENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700